

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
_	10/813,294	03/30/2004	Robert Charatan	7103/410 (P1238)	4533	
	27879 7	590 09/03/2004		EXAM	INER	
	INDIANAPO	INDIANAPOLIS OFFICE 27879		MORGAN, EILEEN P		
	BRINKS HOF	ER GILSON & LIONE				
		A SQUARE, SUITE 16		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		IS, ÎN 46204-2033		3723		

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/813,294	CHARATAN, ROBERT (')				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eileen P Morgan	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M	larch 2004.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from consideration.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	ø□	(DTO 442)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/9/of 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/813,294

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 20 is unclear. Is the conditioning element and manifold mounted on the mounting plate? Or is one mounted on the other?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2-6,8-15,17,19,20,22-29,31 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Tung et al.-6,306,022.

Tung discloses a conditioning disk having diamond conditioning means and nozzles arranged within the conditioner to introduce a liquid, such as deionized water, between the pad and conditioning elements, wherein the conditioner is moved against pad to condition it.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/813,294

Art Unit: 3723

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tung, alone.

The shape of the conditioning surface would have been matter of obvious design choice dependent on machining parameters.

Claims 21 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tung in view of Moore-6,773,332.

Tung does not disclose a gimbaled coupling. However, Moore teaches a conditioner with a gimbal joint (163) to allow pivoting and rotating of conditioning head enabling more efficient conditioning. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time invention was made to provide Tung with a gimbal joint in order to allow rotation and pivoting of conditioner to more effectively condition the polishing pad.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tung in view of Perlov et al.-6,648,740.

Tung does not disclose a rotary union. However, Perlov teaches a carrier head for polishing a wafer against a polishing pad. The carrier has fluid lines connected to a supply source for distributing within head. The carrier head is gimbaled and formed with a rotary union in order to rotate head and allow supply

line and nozzles to rotate therewith. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time invention was made to provide Tung with a rotary union, as taught by Perlov, in order to allow more efficient conditioning and allow nozzles to rotate therewith.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen P Morgan whose telephone number is 703.308.1743. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. Fridays - Work at Home. 2nd Monday off..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 703.308.2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EILEEN P. MORGAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

EM August 21, 2004